UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,014	03/26/2004	David G. Wild	CV0330 NP	9570
26079 CONVATEC II	7590 12/02/2008 INC .		EXAMINER	
~	ARTERS PARK DRIV		OSTRUP, CLINTON T	
SKILLMAN, NJ 08558			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/811,014	WILD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CLINTON OSTRUP	3771	

· · ·	Examine	Ait Oille						
	CLINTON OSTRUP	3771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>7</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW								
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	brief in compliance with 27 CED 44	07 mount ha filad with	in two months of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 November 2008. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	missal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	acallee					
(a) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	`	, ,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpilant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timaly filed emandmen	at concoling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven to the plain (a) is (as will be) as followers.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7,8,10,11,14,19 and 20</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a Ne	ation of Annual will not	t ha antarad					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Justine R Yu/	/Olintara October /							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/Clinton Ostrup/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment has changed the scope of the claims requiring further consideration and/or search. Deleting "undivided" and adding "each consisting of a single compartment" are limitations never before considered and would require additional consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are drawn to their newly proposed claim limitations; thus, these arguments are not commensurate with the rejected claims. As stated above, the newly added claim limitations would require further consideration and/or search for determination of patentability.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment to claim 1 creates confusion as it is unclear if the "each consisting of a single compartment" is referring to the cells or the cuffs. The examiner respectfully suggests applicant consider adding the word "cell" after the word "each" in order to provide clarity that the word "each" is referring to the cells, as argued on page 6, second full paragraph, of the After Final Amendment filed 11/13/08.